



#10

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Willson et al.  
SERIAL NO.: 09/994,701  
FILED: 01/17/01

§ ART UNIT NO.: 1651  
§ EXAMINER: UNKNOWN  
§ DOCKET NO.: 96605/13UTL

TITLE: Nucleic Acid Separation Using  
Immobilized Metal Affinity Chromatography

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
RECEIVED  
AUG 06 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

EV 008 607 851 US	CERTIFICATE OF MAIL BY EXPRESS MAIL	August 1, 2002
Express Mail Number		Date of Deposit
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail		
Post Office to Addressee service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the:		
Assistant Commissioner of Patent		
BOX SEQUENCE		
Robert W. Strozier	Washington, D.C. 20231	August 1, 2002
		Date of Signature

**STATEMENT REGARDING SUBSTITUTE PAPER COPY OF SEQUENCE**  
**LISTING AND CRF COPY OF SEQUENCE LISTING**

Dear Sir/Madam:

In response to a Notice to Comply with Sequence Rules, Applicant used the PatentIn 3.1 software for the United States Patent and Trademark Office to generate a hard copy and electronic copy of the sequence listing as required by the Notice.

Applicants' Attorney verifies that the paper and electronic listing are identical, both generated by PatentIn 3.1 and checked by Checker.

Applicants' Attorney has modified the description to the "Artificial" category within the PatentIn 3.1 software.

If this submission is considered deficient, please have someone from the sequence compliance group call me. I have attempted several times to get an answer on the issue of whether a nucleic acid sequence listing is required for a mere reference to a specific nucleic acid sequence, without sequence listing, in an application.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: August 1, 2002

---

Robert W. Strozier, Reg. No. 34,024  
Attorney for Applicants